

Streamer discharge reduces pollen-induced inflammatory responses and injury in human airway epithelial cells

Akiko Honda¹, Rumiko Murayama¹, Kenshi Tsuji¹, Yugo Matsuda¹, Eiko Koike², Yoshio Okamoto³, Nobuyuki Shirasawa⁴ and Hirohisa Takano¹

¹Environmental Health Division, Department of Environmental Engineering, Graduate School of Engineering, Kyoto University C Cluster, Kyoto-Daigaku-Katsura, Nishikyo-ku, Kyoto 615-8540; ²Center for Environmental Health Sciences, National Institute for Environmental Studies, 16-2 Onogawa, Tsukuba 305-8506; ³Daikin Industries, Ltd Shiga Plant, 100-2 Okamoto-cho, Kusatsu, Shiga 525-8526;

⁴Department of Anatomy and Structural Science, Yamagata University Graduate School of Medical Science, 2-2-2 Iida-Nishi, Yamagata-shi, Yamagata 990-9585, Japan

Corresponding author: Akiko Honda. Email: akko@health.env.kyoto-u.ac.jp

Abstract

Although epidemiological studies have demonstrated that cedar pollen influences respiratory health, effective method for inactivating cedar pollen has not been established. Streamer discharge is a type of plasma discharge in which high-speed electrons collide with oxygen and nitrogen molecules. It reportedly has the ability to eliminate bacteria, mould, chemical substances and allergens. The present study investigated the influence of pollen on BEAS-2B cell line, derived from human airway epithelial cells, as well as the efficiency of streamer discharge on pollen-induced health effects. Airway epithelial cells were exposed to non-treated pollen and streamer-discharged pollen at doses of 100 and 1000 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ for 6 or 24 h. Non-treated pollen at a dose of 1000 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ significantly decreased cell viability and induced both mRNA and protein expression of interleukin-6, whereas streamer-discharged pollen showed the attenuated changes as compared with non-treated pollen. Further, scanning electron micrographs showed that streamer discharge caused the fine structural changes of pollen. These results provide the first experimental evidence that pollen at a high dose affects cell viability and inflammatory responses, and streamer discharge technology attenuates their influences by decomposing pollen.

Keywords: BEAS-2B cells, cedar pollen, inflammation, injury, streamer discharge

Experimental Biology and Medicine 2013; **238**: 187–192. DOI: 10.1177/1535370212473693

Introduction

Pollinosis is characterized by allergic symptoms such as rhinitis and conjunctivitis, and is caused by some species of plants including grass, ragweed, cedar, birch, mugwort and olive around the world.^{1,2} Cedar pollinosis occurs during spring in Japan where cedar forest covers.^{3,4} Recently, not only adults but also children suffer from Japanese cedar pollinosis, and cedar pollen allergy is one of the major public health problems in Japan because of its high prevalence.^{5,6} The rapid rise of Japanese cedar pollen allergy involves various factors including changes in lifestyle, sanitary condition, diet and environmental pollutants.^{7,8} Moreover, it has been suggested that exposure to pollen rise a risk for development of allergic asthma.⁹ Bronchial asthma has been recognized as a chronic type of allergic airway inflammation with hyper-responsiveness to foreign allergens. The presence of mucus hypersecretion also contributes to airflow obstruction and bronchial

reactivity. Clinical studies have provided evidence for the association between cedar pollen and asthma.^{10,11} Although cedar pollen itself is too large to reach the lower airways, crushed pollen may contribute to immune response.¹² Recently, Wang *et al.*^{13,14} have suggested that air polluted rainfall increase the small sized cedar pollen allergens ($<1.1 \mu\text{m}$). However, main mechanism of allergic inflammation in asthma by cedar pollen remains unclear.

'Streamer discharge' is a type of plasma discharge in which high-speed electrons collide with oxygen and nitrogen molecules, which is capable of oxidative decomposition of bacteria and mould as well as chemical substances and allergens. The decomposition mechanism of streamer technology is that massive streamer discharge hits the xenobiotics, decomposing their surface proteins, leading to the destruction through oxidation. The discharge range of streamer is wider than that of standard plasma discharge (glow discharge). This technology can disseminate high-velocity

electrons, which have the highest oxidation activity. After the reaction, streamer discharge breaks down high-velocity electrons into safe nitrogen, oxygen atoms and water molecules.^{15,16}

In the present study, we focused on airway epithelial cells which are the primary site of entry for inhaled xenobiotic in the respiratory system to elucidate the effects of pollen on airway inflammation and damage. Accordingly, we investigated whether pollen enhances inflammatory responses and damages on airway epithelial cells. In addition, the effects of streamer discharge on pollen were also determined.

Materials and methods

Cell culture

The BEAS-2B cell line, derived from human bronchial epithelial cells transformed by an adenovirus 12-SV40 hybrid virus, was purchased from European Collection of Cell Cultures (Salisbury, Wiltshire, UK). Airway epithelial cells were seeded in 96 well or 12 well collagen I coated plates and incubated for 72 h to reach semiconfluence in Serum free-medium LHC-9 (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA) at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO₂.

Treatment of pollen with streamer discharge

Crude cedar pollen (Cosmo Bio Co Ltd, Tokyo, Japan) on the plastic dish was placed at 10 cm from electrode in the chamber (520 × 520 × 430 mm), and was treated with active species generated by streamer discharge (5.6 kV × 55 μA) for 0, 2, 4 and 8 days.

Experimental protocol

After airway epithelial cells were grown to semi-confluence in LHC-9, cells were exposed to pollen at a dose of 100 or 1000 μg/mL for 6 or 24 h. The cell viability, gene expression, cytokine production, structure of pollen and endotoxin concentrations were examined by water-soluble tetrazolium salt (WST-1) assay, realtime polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR), enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA), electron microscope images and diazo-coupling method, respectively.

Cell viability

After exposure to pollen for 24 h, cell viability was measured by WST-1 assay using Premix WST-1 Cell Proliferation Assay System (TaKaRa Bio Inc., Shiga, Japan). In brief, 7 μL of WST-1 reagent was added to each well of 96-well plate (the volume of WST-1 reagent was 1/10 of original culture medium) and mixed well by gently rocking the plate. Airway epithelial cells were incubated with WST-1 reagent at 37°C for three hours. After incubation, absorbance was measured on an iMark Microplate Absorbance Reader (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, USA) with wavelength at 450 nm and a reference wavelength at 630 nm. Results were expressed as the percentage of viable cells compared with untreated cells (0 μg/mL).

Extraction of RNA and gene expression

After exposure to pollen for six hours, mRNA levels of interleukin (IL)-6 in the airway epithelial cells were measured by realtime RT-PCR. Total RNA was extracted with RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturer's instructions. This RNA was treated with DNase by RNase-Free DNase Set (Qiagen). Total RNA was reverse transcribed to cDNA using High Capacity RNA-to-cDNA kit according to the manufacturer's instructions (Life Technologies). In brief, reverse transcription was carried out at 37°C for 60 min and at 95°C for five minutes in 20 μL reaction of RT Buffer Mix and RT Enzyme Mix. The mixture was then cooled to 4°C and stored at -20°C until use. The quantitation of mRNA expression was carried out using the ABI Prism 7000 Sequence Detection System (Life Technologies). The PCR amplification was performed as described by Yanagisawa *et al.*¹⁷ The PCR amplification performed with 50 μL final reaction mixture consisting of 25 μL TaqMan Gene Expression Master Mix (Life Technologies), 2.5 μL TaqMan Gene Expression Assay contained with TaqMan probe and pair primers (Life Technologies), 20.5 μL DNase/RNase-Free Distilled Water (Life technologies) and 2 μL cDNA. The cDNA was amplified according to the thermal profile of 50°C for two minutes and then 95°C for 10 min, followed by 40 cycles at 95°C for 15 s and 60°C for one minute. The relative intensity was normalized to glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) as an endogenous control gene. TaqMan probes and pair primers for IL-6 and GAPDH were designed by Life Technologies, which did not disclose these sequences.

Cytokines in the culture supernatants

After exposure to pollen for 24 h, medium was harvested and centrifuged at 400 g for five minutes to remove floating cells. The final supernatants were stored at -80°C until analysis. The levels of IL-6 (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) in the culture supernatants were measured by ELISA according to the manufacturer's instructions. Absorbance was measured on the iMark Microplate Absorbance Reader (Bio-Rad Laboratories) with wavelength at 450 nm and a reference wavelength at 550 nm. The detection limits of IL-6 were less than 1 pg/mL.

Electron microscope images of pollen

The pollen grain was mounted onto specimen holders, and put under a discharge reactor. After streamer discharging for 0–8 days, the specimens were coated with 10 nm gold palladium in a vacuum sputter, and were examined in a Hitachi S-5000S scanning electron microscope (Hitachi High Technologies, Tokyo, Japan) at 5 kV.

Endotoxin in pollen

Endotoxin in pollen was extracted using a modified protocol of Spaan *et al.*¹⁸ In brief, 5 mg pollen was immersed in 5 mL of pyrogen free water (1000 μg/mL) with 0.05% Tween 20 for one hour at room temperature on a shaker. After 15 min of centrifugation at 1000 g, 1 mL supernatant

per sample was collected and stored at -80°C until analysis. Levels of endotoxin were measured by Pyrochrome with Glucashield Buffer, Control Standard Endotoxin and PyroColorDiaz Reagents DIA150-MP (Seikagaku Biobusiness, Tokyo, Japan) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Absorbance was measured on iMark Microplate Absorbance Reader (Bio-Rad Laboratories) with wavelength at 550 nm and a reference wavelength at 630 nm.

Statistical analysis

Data were represented as mean \pm standard deviation (SD) for each experimental group ($n = 4$). The significance of variation among different groups was determined by two-way analysis of variance. Differences among groups were analyzed using Tukey's multiple comparison test (Excel Statistics 2010, Social Survey Research Information Co Ltd, Tokyo, Japan). A P value < 0.05 was considered to indicate a significant difference.

Results

Effects of non-treated pollen and streamer-discharged pollen on viability of airway epithelial cells

We investigated the effect of pollen on the viability of airway epithelial cells after exposure to pollen for 24 h. Non-treated pollen at a dose of $1000 \mu\text{g/mL}$ significantly decreased the viability of airway epithelial cells. Exposure to pollen treated with streamer discharge for two, four and eight days significantly increased viability compared with that to non-treated pollen (Figure 1).

Effects of non-treated pollen and streamer-discharged pollen on gene expression and cytokine production of airway epithelial cells

To evaluate whether pollen has pro-inflammatory effects on airway epithelial cells, we examined both mRNA and




Figure 1 Effect of non-treated pollen and streamer-discharged pollen on the viability of airway epithelial cells. Cells were exposed to the indicated concentrations of pollen for 24 h. Cell viability was assessed by WST-1 assays. Data are presented as the percentage of the viability of the control. Data are mean \pm SD of four individual cultures. * $P < 0.05$ versus $0 \mu\text{g/mL}$. # $P < 0.05$ versus non-treated pollen

protein expression of IL-6 after exposure to pollen for 6 and 24 h, respectively. Exposure to non-treated pollen at a dose of $1000 \mu\text{g/mL}$ resulted in a significant increase in IL-6 mRNA and protein release as compared with that at a dose of $0 \mu\text{g/mL}$. Treatment with streamer discharge for two, four and eight days significantly attenuated the IL-6 mRNA and protein release caused by pollen (Figures 2a and b).

Effects of non-treated pollen and streamer-discharged pollen on morphological features

A grain of cedar pollen was composed of a mushroom-like structure, $30 \mu\text{m}$ in diameter and $16 \mu\text{m}$ high, with many orbicles, $0.5 \mu\text{m}$ in diameter (Figures 3a and b). After streamer discharging for two days, shrinkage and dents were observed on the surface of a grain (Figure 3c), and sharp spikes on the surface of an orbicle became dull (Figure 3d). Some of orbicles contacted and fused together. These fine structural changes became more apparent with the length of streamer discharge.




Figure 2 interleukin-6 (IL-6) production from airway epithelial cells in response to non-treated pollen and streamer-discharged pollen. IL-6 mRNA level in airway epithelial cell after exposure to pollen for six hours (a) and the protein concentration in the culture supernatant after exposure to pollen for 24 h (b) were measured by realtime polymerase chain reaction and enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay, respectively. Data are mean \pm SD of four individual cultures. * $P < 0.05$ versus $0 \mu\text{g/mL}$. # $P < 0.05$ versus non-treated pollen

Endotoxin in non-treated pollen and streamer-discharged pollen

We evaluated the contents of endotoxin in non-treated pollen and streamer-discharged pollen at a dose of 1000 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ to understand exposure to ambient endotoxin. As shown in Figure 4, the highest levels of endotoxin were observed in non-treated pollen (21.3 pg/mL). On the other hand, streamer-discharged pollen showed lower levels than non-treated pollen (the levels detected in streamer-discharged pollen for two, four and eight days were 1.5, 3.1 and 4.2 pg/mL , respectively).




Figure 3 Scanning electron micrographs of non-treated and streamer-discharged pollen. Mushroom-like pollen (a) and many orbicules on their surface (b) are observed in non-treated pollen. In streamer-discharged pollen for two days, shrinkage of pollen grains (c) and dull-surfaced and fused orbicules (d) are apparent. Bar 10 μm (a, c); 0.5 μm (b, d)




Figure 4 Endotoxin concentrations in cedar pollen. The contents of endotoxin non-treated pollen and streamer-discharged pollen were determined by diazo-coupling method. Data are mean of duplicate samples

Discussion

In the present study, we determined the effects of pollen on respiratory health, as well as the influence of streamer discharge on the pollen-induced effects. We demonstrated that pollen exposure at a high dose exacerbated cell viability and elevated IL-6 release in airway epithelial cells; furthermore, streamer discharge technology attenuated their influences by decomposing pollen.

It has been reported that proteases released from pollen might be able to injure the airway epithelial cells.¹⁹ Additionally, Aguilera-Aguirre *et al.*²⁰ have suggested that ragweed pollen extract leads mitochondrial dysfunction, which can be caused by oxidative damage to mitochondrial respiratory chain-complex and associated proteins using type II pneumocyte cell line (A549). We also observed that non-treated cedar pollen at a high dose decreased cell viability as evidenced by the result of WST-1 assay based on the activity of mitochondrial dehydrogenase. However, it is unlikely that they induced apparent cell death via membrane permeabilization as evidenced by the results of lactate dehydrogenase release assay (data not shown). Thus, *in vitro* study, pollen can lead to damages on airway epithelial cells.

Airway epithelial cells are a source of cytokines such as IL-6 which is an inflammatory cytokine induced in response to environmental insults and plays an important role in inflammation of respiratory system by stimulating lymphocytes and up-regulating mucin secretion.^{21,22,23} Indeed, various chemicals and allergens, including diesel exhaust particles, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and dust mite stimulate IL-6 production in airway epithelial cells.^{24–26} It has been reported that pollen allergen from grass and pollen extract of *Parietaria officinalis* activates A549 by analysis of IL-6, IL-8, IL-4, IL-5 and IL-13 release.^{27,28} Also in our present study, cedar pollen significantly elevated IL-6 mRNA and protein release. The present results support pollen at a high dose caused cell damage and inflammation directly on airway epithelial cells, which can induce disruption and weakness of defense against invading xenobiotics such as inhaled allergen in respiratory tract, and might stimulate subsequent mucin production and immune responses including uptake of allergen by dendritic cells and lymphocyte activation. Accordingly, pollen exposure may exacerbate or trigger allergic responses or allergic/respiratory diseases. On the other hand, Österlund *et al.*²⁹ have shown that recombinant pollen allergens from birch and timothy do not activate airway epithelial cells. There is possibility that unknown substances adhering to crude pollen generate bad effects or it depends on pollen species.

Endotoxin is known to induce IL-6 production in airway epithelial cells. Previous reports have indicated that treatment with lipopolysaccharide at concentrations more than 1 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ activates the product.³⁰ To understand exposure to ambient endotoxin, the concentration of endotoxin in pollen were measured in the present study, and the level was very low (≤ 21.3 pg/mL). Therefore, our result and previous reports suggested that activating capacity of airway epithelial cells did not result from ambient endotoxin, although streamer discharge further decreased endotoxin




Figure 5 Scheme of pollen-induced inflammatory responses and injury in human airway epithelial cells, and the effects of streamer discharge on the health

even at extremely low levels in pollen. The pollen at the high concentration may have been sufficient to elevate IL-6 release as a xenobiotic.

We first found approximate 15% increase in cellular viability and 40% reduction in IL-6 protein level after streamer discharge. Electron micrographs clearly showed different shapes between non-treated and streamer-discharged pollen. Streamer discharge caused shrinkage and dents on the surface of pollen and dull-surfaced and fused orbicles. It is possible that the changes in substances adhered to surfaces of crude pollen except for endotoxin and physical stimulation caused by the shape of crude pollen may contribute to cell damage and inflammation in airway epithelial cells (Figure 5). Several studies have reported methods of xenobiotic reduction using corona discharge, ions generated by plasma discharge, colloidal silica and hypochlorous acid.^{31–35} Additionally, we suggest usefulness of streamer discharge as one of xenobiotic reduction technologies.

In conclusion, exposure of airway epithelial cells to cedar pollen facilitated cellular damage and inflammatory responses, at least via the expression of IL-6. Furthermore, streamer discharge inhibits the influence. These results provide evidence that cedar pollen might contribute to exacerbation of respiratory/immunological health and streamer discharge has function to suppress the health effects of cedar pollen. Further investigation is necessary to elucidate its benefits.

Author contributions: All authors participate in the design, interpretation of the studies and analysis of the data and review of the manuscript. AH, RM, KT, YM, EK and NS conducted the experiments. YO supplied non-treated and streamer-discharged pollen. AH and NS wrote the manuscript. HT assisted in data analysis and editing the manuscript.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study was partly supported by Daikin Industries Ltd.

REFERENCES

- White JF, Bernstein DI. Key pollen allergens in North America. *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol* 2003;**91**:425–35
- D'Amato G, Cecchi L, Bonini S, Nunes C, Annesi-Maesano I, Behrendt H, Liccardi G, Popov T, van Cauwenberge P. Allergenic pollen and pollen allergy in Europe. *Allergy* 2007;**62**:976–90
- Okuda M. Epidemiology of Japanese cedar pollinosis. *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol* 2003;**91**:288–96
- Okamoto Y, Horiguchi S, Yamamoto H, Yonekura S, Hanazawa T. Present situation of cedar pollinosis in Japan and its immune responses. *Allergol Int* 2009;**58**:155–62
- Ozasa K, Hama T, Dejima K, Watanabe Y, Hyo S, Terada T, Araki N, Takenaka H. A 13-year study of Japanese cedar pollinosis in Japanese schoolchildren. *Allergol Int* 2008;**57**:175–80
- Futamura M, Ohya Y, Akashi M, Adachi Y, Odajima H, Akiyama K, Akasawa A. Age-related prevalence of allergic diseases in Tokyo schoolchildren. *Allergol Int* 2011;**60**:509–15
- Masuda S. Japanese cedar pollen: immunobiology of allergen and related allergic disease. *Arerugi* 2008;**57**:960–6
- Takano H. Enhancement of allergy by environmental pollutants – importance of low-dose complicated effects of environmental pollutants-Jpn. *J Hyg* 2009;**64**:710–4
- D'Amato G, Liccardi G, D'Amato M, Cazzola M. The role of outdoor air pollution and climatic changes on the rising trends in respiratory allergy. *Respir Med* 2001;**95**:606–11
- Ueno K, Minoguchi K, Kohno Y, Oda N, Wada K, Miyamoto M, Yokoe T, Hashimoto T, Minoguchi H, Miyamoto M, Yokoe T, Hashimoto T, Minoguchi H, Tanaka A, Kokubu F, Adachi M. Japanese cedar pollinosis is a risk factor for bronchial asthma in Japanese adult asthmatics. *Arerugi* 2002;**51**:565–70
- Maeda Y, Akiyama K, Shida T. A clinical study of Japanese cedar (*Cryptomeria japonica*) pollen-induced asthma. *Allergol Int* 2008;**57**:413–7
- Taylor PE, Jacobson KW, House JM, Glovsky MM. Links between pollen, atopy and the asthma epidemic. *Int Arch Allergy Immunol* 2007;**144**:162–70
- Wang Q, Kurihara K, Kiryu H, Sakamoto K, Miwa M, Uchiyama I. Daily variation differences between airborne Japanese cedar pollen and

- its respirable allergen particulate matters during the most severe pollination seasons. *Earozoru Kenkyu* 2008;**23**:120–6
- 14 Wang Q, Nakamura S, Lu S, Xiu G, Nakajima D, Suzuki M, Sakamoto K, Miwa M. Release behavior of small sized daughter allergens from *Cryptomeria japonica* pollen grains during urban rainfall event. *Aerobiologia* 2012;**28**:71–81
 - 15 Okamoto Y. New deodorization & antibacterial technique using the interaction with photocatalytic materials & streamer discharge. *Abstract Book of Symposium on Society of Indoor Environment Japan* 2007;1–4
 - 16 Kagawa K. Removal of indoor air pollutant by streamer discharge technique. *Abstract Book of International Symposium on Refrigeration Technology* 2010;544–50
 - 17 Yanagisawa R, Takano H, Ichinose T, Mizushima K, Nishikawa M, Mori I, Inoue K, Sadakane K, Yoshikawa T. Gene expression analysis of murine lungs following pulmonary exposure to Asian sand dust particles. *Exp Biol Med (Maywood)* 2007;**232**:1109–18
 - 18 Spaan S, Doekes G, Heederik D, Thorne PS, Wouters IM. Effect of extraction and assay media on analysis of airborne endotoxin. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2008;**74**:3804–11
 - 19 Hassim Z, Maronese SE, Kumar RK. Injury to murine airway epithelial cells by pollen enzymes. *Thorax* 1998;**53**:368–71
 - 20 Aguilera-Aguirre L, Bacsí A, Saavedra-Molina A, Kurosky A, Sur S, Boldogh I. Mitochondrial dysfunction increases allergic airway inflammation. *J Immunol* 2009;**183**:5379–87
 - 21 Levine SJ, Larivée P, Logun C, Angus CW, Shelhamer JH. Corticosteroids differentially regulate secretion of IL-6, IL-8, and G-CSF by a human bronchial epithelial cell line. *Am J Physiol* 1993;**265**:L360–8
 - 22 Thacker EL. Lung inflammatory responses. *Vet Res* 2006;**37**:469–86
 - 23 Chen Y, Thai P, Zhao YH, Ho YS, DeSouza MM, Wu R. Stimulation of airway mucin gene expression by interleukin (IL)-17 through IL-6 paracrine/autocrine loop. *J Biol Chem* 2003;**278**:17036–43
 - 24 King C, Brennan S, Thompson PJ, Stewart GA. Dust mite proteolytic allergens induce cytokine release from cultured airway epithelium. *J Immunol* 1998;**161**:3645–51
 - 25 Park EJ, Park K. Induction of pro-inflammatory signals by 1-nitropyrene in cultured BEAS-2B cells. *Toxicol Lett* 2009;**184**:126–33
 - 26 Totlandsdal AI, Herseth JI, Bølling AK, Kubátová A, Braun A, Cochran RE, Refsnes M, Ovrevik J, Låg M. Differential effects of the particle core and organic extract of diesel exhaust particles. *Toxicol Lett* 2012;**208**:262–8
 - 27 Röschmann K, Farhat K, König P, Suck R, Ulmer AJ, Petersen A. Timothy grass pollen major allergen Phl p 1 activates respiratory epithelial cells by a non-protease mechanism. *Clin Exp Allergy* 2009;**39**:1358–69
 - 28 Mazzarella G, Esposito V, Bianco A, Ferraraccio F, Prati MV, Lucariello A, Manente L, Mezzogiorno A, De Luca A. Inflammatory effects on human lung epithelial cells after exposure to diesel exhaust micron sub particles (PM_{1.0}) and pollen allergens. *Environ Pollut* 2012;**161**:64–9
 - 29 Osterlund C, Grönlund H, Gafvelin G, Bucht A. Non-proteolytic aeroallergens from mites, cat and dog exert adjuvant-like activation of bronchial epithelial cells. *Int Arch Allergy Immunol* 2011;**155**:111–8
 - 30 Schulz C, Farkas L, Wolf K, Kratzel K, Eissner G, Pfeifer M. Differences in LPS-induced activation of bronchial epithelial cells (BEAS-2B) and type II-like pneumocytes (A-549). *Scand J Immunol* 2002;**56**:294–302
 - 31 Goodman N, Hughes JF. The effect of corona discharge on Der p 1. *Clin Exp Allergy* 2002;**32**:515–9
 - 32 Goodman N. The use of ionizers to destroy allergens: past, present and future research. *Int Arch Allergy Immunol* 2006;**141**:311–2
 - 33 Kawamoto S, Oshita M, Fukuoka N, Shigeta S, Aki T, Hayashi T, Nishikawa K, Ono K. Decrease in the allergenicity of Japanese cedar pollen allergen by treatment with positive and negative cluster ions. *Int Arch Allergy Immunol* 2006;**141**:313–21
 - 34 Tobe S, Akimoto H, Shigematsu H, Watanabe T, Kikukawa M, Miyazawa H. Allergen inactivation with colloidal silica. *J Oleo Sci* 2008;**57**:353–8
 - 35 Terada T, Akimoto Y, Hyo S, Suzuki D, Tanaka K, Takenaka H. Allergic potency of Japanese cedar pollen Cry j 1 is reduced by a low concentration of hypochlorous acid generated by electrolysis. *Allergol Int* 2009;**58**:255–60

(Received June 11, 2012, Accepted November 7, 2012)