

tion. In either case the result of this genotypic alteration would be the development in the transformed cell of an antigen immunologically slightly different from the corresponding antigen in the normal cell.

1. Habel, K., Atanasiu, P., *PROC. SOC. EXP. BIOL.*

AND MED., 1959, v102, 99.

2. Habel, K., Silverberg, R., *Virology*, 1960, v12, 463.

3. Law, L. W., Dawe, C. J., *PROC. SOC. EXP. BIOL. AND MED.*, 1960, v105, 414.

Received January 26, 1961. P.S.E.B.M., 1961, v106.

An Erythematous Disease of Adult Guinea Pigs Following Transplantation of Homologous Lymphoid Cells.* (26454)

JACK R. BATTISTO (Introduced by Edward J. Hehre)

*Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Albert Einstein College of Medicine,
Yeshiva University, New York City*

During studies on the transfer of delayed hypersensitivity to simple chemicals from highly sensitized to normal adult guinea pigs (1), occasional recipients of lymphoid cells developed an illness characterized by intermittent fever, squealing on handling, progressive weight loss, and generalized erythema. Evidence has now been obtained that this disorder is entirely independent of any artificial sensitization of the donor and may be produced with unprecedented high frequency by lymphoid tissue from a specific category of normal animals.

Following the discovery that certain guinea pigs possess natural, delayed type iso-hypersensitivity toward a heritable factor present in sera of other guinea pigs (2), an investigation was undertaken to determine whether a relationship might exist between this state of iso-hypersensitiveness and the illness following lymphoid cell transfer. As the following experiments show, the lymphoid tissue from guinea pigs lacking serum factor but possessing delayed iso-hypersensitivity toward it regularly induced the illness in adult recipients, while lymphoid tissue from guinea pigs possessing serum factor but lacking iso-hypersensitivity was incapable of inducing the disease.

The experimentally produced illness, which superficially resembles "runt", "homologous"

and "secondary disease" (3-7), but which differs from them by its induction in normal non-inbred adults and by its characteristic erythema, has been termed "erythematous disease" (8,9). Certain features of erythematous disease recall and allow comparison with aspects of "parabiotic intoxication" or "parabiotic disease" (10,11).

Methods. Experiments concerned with inducing erythematous disease consisted of transplanting washed lymphoid cells from one or more donor guinea pigs into one or more recipients after testing each animal for serum factor and for iso-hypersensitivity to the factor.[†] Guinea pigs bred from Rockefeller Institute stock, weighing more than 400 g and kept in temperature controlled quarters, were used throughout. Presence or absence of serum factor was determined by injecting 0.1 ml of each animal's serum intracutaneously into separate "assay" guinea pigs of known dermal reactivity (2); an erythematous response greater than 10 mm in diameter at 24 hours was deemed positive for serum factor. Possession or lack of iso-hypersensitivity was ascertained on day of transplantation by observing an animal's cutaneous reaction to sera, known to contain serum factor (2), inoculated intradermally

* This work was supported by grants from the Brown-Hazen Fund of Research Corp., and from Nat. Inst. of Allergy and Infect. Dis., U.S.P.H.S.

[†] Such tests, done to provide information for the matching of animals, are not necessary for induction of erythematous disease as the illness can be produced in animals that have not received any prior injection.

TABLE II. Rejection of Skin Homografts in Normal Adult Guinea Pigs Possessing or Lacking Delayed Type Iso-hypersensitivity (IH) and Serum Factor (SF).

Donor		Recipient		No. grafts	Graft survival (days)
IH	SF	IH	SF		
+	—	—	+	4	13
+	—	+	—	11	13-15
—	+	—	+	22	13-17
—	+	+	—	4	9-14

This mechanism might conceivably account for the high incidence of the disease in recipients with serum factor (Exp. A); however, it could not account for the equally high incidence of the disease observed in recipients lacking serum factor and possessing iso-hypersensitivity (Exp. B; Fig. 1). An alternative basis for a "graft-versus-host" mechanism would be provided if the effective lymphoid cells (which are from animals genetically lacking serum factor) were for some reason selectively tolerated by the recipient hosts. However, in an experiment in which full thickness (1 cm²) skin grafts were exchanged among guinea pigs of Rockefeller Institute stock, matched for possession or lack of serum factor and iso-hypersensitivity, no immunological tolerance to homologous tissue was detected (Table II). Thus, all skin homografts were rejected within a time range (9-17 days) not far removed from that which others have noted for first-set homografts (12); whereas, a majority of autologous (control) grafts appeared well seated and healthy at 22 days and beyond.

The possibility that some mechanism other than graft-versus-host reaction may be re-

sponsible for erythematous disease is being explored.

Summary. Adult, immunologically competent, non-inbred guinea pigs injected with selected homologous lymphoid cells develop a wasting, sometimes fatal illness. Termed "erythematous disease," it is induced by spleen and lymph node cells from guinea pigs lacking heritable serum factor toward which they possess naturally occurring delayed type iso-hypersensitivity.

The author wishes to thank Dr. Merrill W. Chase for making guinea pigs of the Rockefeller Inst. stock available for breeding; Dr. Irwin M. Dannis for conducting the skin graft experiment; Miss Janet F. Parks and Miss Rita Hixon for capable technical assistance.

1. Battisto, J. R., Chase, M. W., *Fed. Proc.*, 1955, v14, 456.
2. Battisto, J. R., *Nature*, 1960, v187, 69.
3. Billingham, R. W., Brent, L., *Transpl. Bull.*, 1957, v4, 67.
4. Cole, L. J., Ellis, M. E., *Science*, 1958, v128, 32.
5. Simonsen, M., *Acta Path. Microbiol. Scand.*, 1957, v40, 480.
6. Trentin, J. J., *Fed. Proc.*, 1958, v17, 461.
7. Schwartz, E. E., Upton, A. C., Congdon, C. C., *PROC. SOC. EXP. BIOL. AND MED.*, 1957, v96, 797.
8. Battisto, J. R., *Bact. Proc.*, 1960, 86.
9. ———, *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.*, 1960, v87, 468.
10. Herrmannsdorfer, A., *Deutsch Z. für Chir.*, 1923, v178, 289.
11. Nakic, B., Silobrcic, V., *Nature*, 1958, v182, 264.
12. Bauer, J. A., Jr., *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.*, 1958, v73, 663.

Received January 27, 1961. P.S.E.B.M., 1961, v106.

A Simple, Rapid Skin-Graft Technic in Rats. (26455)

ARTHUR N. THOMAS, DONALD L. MORTON AND RICHARD E. GARDNER

Surgical Research Laboratories, Department of Surgery, University of California School of Medicine, San Francisco

A modification of the punch technic for skin grafting as performed on mice(1,2) has been successfully adapted to rats. Improvements developed during studies on 242 rats have resulted in a regularly obtained healthy

skin graft. Sutures are unnecessary in this technic. In mice a tape vest can be used(3), but because of the greater strength of rats, we have found it necessary to use a plaster jacket. The graft is well immobilized in a