

it disturbed the animals the fan was not included in the system.

It was possible to record the oxygen consumption of unanesthetized rats by taking advantage of their nocturnal nature of being relatively inactive during the day. It was found that they were usually quiet after being in the chamber about 1 hour. Since the various parameters had reached a steady state by 1 hour, readings obtained after this time were used in calculating the basal metabolic rate.

The carbon dioxide concentration of the gas mixture in the desiccator was measured at 5, 60, and 120 minutes. It was found that the CO₂ content remained constant at 0.76%, 0.78%, and 0.77%, respectively, at 5, 60 and 120 minutes.

Results. A typical recording of 2 rats under treatment is shown in Fig. 2. The stimulatory effect of activity on oxygen consumption as well as a typical activity recording can also be seen at the bottom of Fig. 2. The stimulatory effect of minor activity on oxygen consumption noted in these experiments is in agreement with the findings of a similar study(1). This effect is masked somewhat by the rise in temperature which occurs during activity. Basal metabolic rates were calculated by averaging 10 or more of the smallest deflections occurring during periods when the rats were inactive as noted from the recording. Respiratory rate can be measured by increasing the recorder chart speed from 0.25 mm/second to 2.5 mm/second.

The basal metabolic rates of a group of euthyroid control rats and a group of triiodothyronine-treated rats are given in Table I. The control basal metabolic rate values are

TABLE I. Basal Metabolic Rates (BMR) of Euthyroid and Hyperthyroid Rats.

Treatment	Euthyroid saline injection daily for 10 days	Hyperthyroid L-triiodothyronine at 150 μ /kg/day for 10 days
BMR cal/sq m./hr \pm S.D.	34 \pm 1.8	64 \pm 3.7
No. of animals	7	7

in agreement with a value in the literature(3) as are the values obtained with thyroid hormone treatment(4).

This apparatus, which is easily assembled, automatically measures and permanently records oxygen consumption, respiratory rate, and physical activity in small animals such as the rat. This system has the distinct advantage of allowing the investigator to avoid the inclusion of periods of stimulated oxygen consumption due to physical activity in calculation of the basal metabolic rate.

Rats were rendered hyperthyroid by treating with Liothyronine Sodium, kindly donated by Smith Kline and French Laboratories, Philadelphia. The authors wish to acknowledge the assistance of Mr. Jim Fisher in analyzing the gas samples.

1. Bramante, P. C., *J. Appl. Physiol.*, 1959, v14, 1063.
2. D'Amour, F. E., Blood, F. R., *Manual for Work in Mammalian Physiology*, University of Chicago Press, 1948, Experiment 44.
3. Fuhrman, F. A., Fuhrman, G. J., Farr, D. A., Fail, J. H., *Am. J. Physiol.*, 1961, v201, 231.
4. Gemmill, C. L., *ibid.*, 1958, v195, 385.
5. Watts, D. T., Gourley, D. R. H., *Proc. Soc. Exp. Biol. and Med.*, 1953, v84, 585.

Received May 23, 1966. P.S.E.B.M., 1966, v122.

Differential Nucleic Acid Metabolism of Planarial Segments. (31378)

JACOB SHAPIRA, JOHNNIE O. COLEMAN AND PETER C. F. CASTELLANI
NASA, Ames Research Center, Moffett Field, Calif.

Recent studies(1) by Best and Elshain have shown that in planaria, electrical discharge of different classes of neurons in the animal can be related to the voltage used to

produce an unconditioned response. This was attributed to a relatively low rheobasic intensity and long chronaxie in the long neurons compared with short neurons. It was demon-

strated(1,2) that neurons in the head of planaria have processes about 4μ long whereas the processes are at least 30μ long in the trunk. These results suggest that other phenomena in the planaria may be related to these differences and that compounds known to affect nervous tissue metabolism selectively might influence the two classes of neurons differently.

We have been investigating differential biochemical activities in various segments of the planaria and present data here which demonstrate that the compound 1,1,3-tricyano-2-aminopropene (TCAP) can elicit a response in the nucleic acid metabolism of that portion of the animal containing the major proportion of neurons with short processes which is not observed in an immediately posterior portion containing neurons with long processes.

The choice of TCAP as the test compound was dictated by observations that it has a profound action on the nucleic acid metabolism of nerve cells. It is capable of producing a rapid increase in the content of nucleic acids in certain types of rabbit nerve cells *in vivo* (3). This increase is associated with changes in the base composition of the RNA(4). It also accelerates the growth of nerve processes in the newt and the outgrowth of fibers from chick ganglia *in vitro*(5). These observations may be related in some way to the report(6) that TCAP produces, in rats, an enhanced retention of learning in an avoidance conditioning situation and the observation(7) that it also decreases retrograde amnesia produced by electroconvulsive shock of a newly learned passive avoidance response.

Materials and methods. Here, animals,* partially immobilized by cooling to 2°C for 20 minutes, were cut under a low power dissecting microscope immediately posterior to the auricles to give a "head" section and then a subsequent "neck" section of as nearly equal volume as possible. Within a given experiment, care was taken to select specimens of the same size.

* *Dugesia dorotocephala*, purchased from H. A. Dahl Co., Berkeley, Calif., maintained on adult brine shrimp and calves liver, fed alternately.

For each experimental condition, 9 portions of animal were randomly selected and placed, in groups of 3, into three 15×100 mm tubes containing 0.2 ml of medium containing radioactive tracer and other addenda. The tracers used had the following specific activities: H_3 -uridine (15.3 mc/mg), H_3 -cytidine (25.0 mc/mg), adenine- 2-C^{14} (0.059 mc/mg) and guanine- 2-C^{14} (0.022 mc/mg). Each tube contained either a combination of $1.0 \mu\text{C}$ each of H_3 -uridine and C^{14} -adenine or of H_3 -cytidine and C^{14} -guanine. TCAP† was dissolved in water to give a 0.25% solution and stored in the dark at -20°C .

The medium used is capable of maintaining disrupted planarial tissue in culture for up to 2 weeks. It was developed from the salt solution described by Henderson and Eakin(8) and had the following composition: CaCl_2 (10^{-2}M), KCl (10^{-5}M), NaCl (10^{-3}M), disodium versenate (10^{-5}M), Eagle's MEM amino acids, fetal bovine serum (10%), penicillin (100 U/ml), streptomycin (0.1 mg/ml), glucose (5 mg/ml) with the final pH adjusted to 7.4 with 7.5% NaHCO_3 . TCAP was added to the experimental tubes to give final concentrations of 25 $\mu\text{g}/\text{ml}$ and 100 $\mu\text{g}/\text{ml}$.

The capped tubes were maintained in the dark at 17° for 18 hours and the incubations were then terminated by washing twice with cold phosphate-buffered saline. All segments of the animals were still mobile at this time. The tissues were then treated according to the procedure of Scott *et al*(9) to give low molecular weight (LMW), RNA, and combined DNA-protein fractions. The optical density of the LMW and RNA fractions was determined in a Zeiss PMQ II spectrophotometer using microcuvettes. The radioactivity of an aliquot of these fractions was determined by liquid scintillation counting. The combined DNA-protein precipitate was dissolved in 0.1 ml of 90% formic acid and also counted.

Results. Data from 4 different experiments conducted under identical conditions have been combined. Unfortunately, it was not

† Kindly provided by Upjohn Co., Kalamazoo, Mich., (Designation, U-9189).

TABLE I. Optical Density of Planarial Segment Fractions.

Fraction	Optical density	
	Head	Neck
LMW-control	.077 ± .008	.124 ± .012
LMW-25 µg/ml TCAP	.078 ± .007	.128 ± .011
LMW-100 µg/ml TCAP	.062 ± .009	.115 ± .008
RNA-control	.119 ± .009	.248 ± .019
RNA-25 µg/ml TCAP	.123 ± .014	.263 ± .023
RNA-100 µg/ml TCAP	.095 ± .016	.226 ± .023

Each value represents mean ± standard error of mean for 72 segments as determined in groups of 3.

possible to obtain identically sized animals for all 4 experiments and much of the statistical variation is probably due to this. The optical densities at 260 mµ of the LMW and RNA fractions are shown in Table I and are proportional to the content of purines and pyrimidines in these fractions. It can be concluded that the "neck" segments were larger than the "head" segments, which is to be expected since the animal becomes thicker toward the middle. The effect of TCAP was minimal, and, if anything, caused a decrease to the same extent in both segments of UV absorbing material. The ratio of absorbing material in the 2 fractions was different in the "head" and "neck" segments which is probably a reflection of the different cell types present with differing pool sizes and metabolic activities.

The incorporation of the radioactive precursors into the RNA fraction is shown in Table II. In the controls, about 0.5% of the radioactivity present in the medium was incorporated. Only in the "head" segment was there any effect of TCAP on the incorporation of the radioactive precursors. Incorporation

of cytidine was inhibited 40% by 100 µg/ml of TCAP. This inhibition is statistically significant at the $P = 0.1$ level. The incorporation of all of the precursors was significantly greater into the "head" portions and again indicates a different cell population in the 2 segments.

The inhibition of cytidine incorporation into the RNA of the "head" segments could have arisen from its unavailability in the LMW fraction. Such was indeed the case since 100 µg/ml of TCAP caused a 57% decrease in amount of radioactivity in the LMW fraction when radioactive cytidine was present in the medium. This is significant at the $P = 0.05$ level. No inhibition of any of the other precursors was found in either the "head" or "neck" portions. There did appear to be about a 20% stimulation ($P = 0.10$) of uridine incorporation into the "head" section produced by 100 µg/ml of TCAP which was not found in the "neck" section. This increase in uridine incorporation would be expected in the presence of a specific inhibition of cytidine phosphorylation since an increased amount of UMP would be converted to CMP in this situation.

The content of radioactivity in the combined DNA-protein fraction was quite low. The only change produced by 100 µg/ml of TCAP was a greater than 90% inhibition of the incorporation of cytidine into this fraction of the "head" segments which was not observed in the "neck" segments.

Discussion. The mechanism by which TCAP affects nucleic acid metabolism is not known. Perhaps the most revealing observa-

TABLE II. Effect of TCAP on RNA Metabolism of Planaria Segments.

Addition	Incorporation* (cpm/unit O.D. 260 mµ)			
	Uridine	Adenine	Cytidine	Guanine
Head				
None	2650 ± 420	2200 ± 250	1500 ± 170	950 ± 85
TCAP, 25 µg/ml	2150 ± 325	1600 ± 800	1350 ± 200	1000 ± 80
TCAP, 100 µg/ml	2700 ± 530	2650 ± 420	900 ± 265	790 ± 105
Neck				
None	565 ± 100	725 ± 100	505 ± 120	485 ± 40
TCAP, 25 µg/ml	460 ± 55	745 ± 40	550 ± 115	515 ± 40
TCAP, 100 µg/ml	620 ± 90	620 ± 45	550 ± 45	600 ± 45

* Numbers represent mean incorporation of each of 36 segments treated in groups of 3 ± standard error of mean.

tion(10) is that TCAP can reverse the inhibition by actinomycin D of the incorporation of uridine into dipteran salivary gland nucleolar and chromosomal RNA. Since in the absence of actinomycin D there was also a stimulation of uridine incorporation, there is probably a direct effect of TCAP on DNA-dependent RNA synthesis. It has also been reported(11) that TCAP at 10 times the concentration of 2,4-dinitrophenol can uncouple oxidative phosphorylation in rat liver mitochondria. However, these studies were not conducted with nervous tissue where it is probable that rather specific effects occur, since only certain types of rabbit nerve cells showed an increase in UV absorbing material when TCAP was administered(3).

It may be particularly significant that in one of the affected cell types, the neurons in the Deiter's nucleus that TCAP caused a specific decrease in the amount of cytosine in relation to the other bases in spite of a net increase of 26% in the content of RNA in these cells(4). It was also reported that although the UV absorption was increased for the whole cell, the increase was particularly great in the nucleus.

The results reported here are also consistent with data obtained with frog ganglion tissue maintained *in vitro* where it was shown (12) that comparable concentrations of TCAP inhibited the incorporation of cytidine and to a lesser extent adenine into RNA. In this tissue there was also an inhibition of the incorporation of cytidine into the LMW fraction.

It is of course possible that the effect of TCAP observed here is not due to action on the different types of nerve cells of the two segments, but rather to some other difference. The possibility that regenerating cells (neoblasts) are incorporating the radioactivity is contraindicated by the evidence(13) that there is only about a 10% greater content in the "head" than in the "neck," whereas the difference observed here in incorporation into RNA is at least 200% greater. Further, the "neck" has two cut and presumably regenerat-

ing surfaces and might thus be expected to have a higher rate of regeneration of neoblasts. Finally, the incorporation of the precursors into the DNA-protein fraction of the segments was essentially the same. That there is indeed a gradient in metabolic activity in planaria has been established(14) but insofar as it involves the incorporation of radioactive CO₂ and glycine into protein can be attributed to the activity of a variety of cell types, and certainly does not explain why the incorporation of cytidine should be selectively inhibited in the "head" segments by TCAP.

Summary. Incorporation of cytidine-C¹⁴ into the RNA of the "head" segment of planaria is inhibited by tricyanoaminopropene whereas other nucleic acid bases are unaffected. This may be related to the content in this segment of neurons with short processes.

1. Best, J. B., Elshtain, E., *Science*, 1966, v151, 707.
2. Morita, M., Best, J. B., *J. Ultrastruct. Res.*, 1965, v13, 396.
3. Grenell, R. G., *Proc. N. W. Acad. Sci.*, 1961, v96, 345.
4. Egyhazi, E., Hyden, H., *J. Biophys. Biochem. Cytol.*, 1961, v10, 403.
5. Houlihan, R. T., Da Vanzo, J. P., *Exp. Neur.*, 1964, v10, 183.
6. Chamberlain, T. J., Rothschild, G. H., Gerard, R. W., *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.*, 1963, v49, 918.
7. Essman, W. B., *Psychopharm.*, in press.
8. Henderson, T. R., Eakin, R. E., *J. Exp. Zool.*, 1961, v146, 253.
9. Scott, J. F., Fraccastoro, A. P., Taft, E. P., *J. Histochem. Cytochem.*, 1956, v4, 1.
10. Jacob, J., Sirlin, J. H., *Science*, 1964, v144, 1011.
11. Eberts, F. S., *Biochem. Pharmacol.*, 1961, v8, 367.
12. Shapira, J., Castellani, P. C. F., Coleman, J. O., *Proc. Soc. Exp. Biol. and Med.*, 1966, v122, 637.
13. Lender, T., Gabriel, A., *Bull. Soc. Zool. Fr.*, 1960, v85, 100.
14. Flickinger, R. A., *Exp. Cell Res.*, 1963, v30, 605.

Received May 24, 1966, P.S.E.B.M., 1966, v122.