

Ethanol-Prostaglandin Interactions in Contraction of Vascular Smooth Muscle¹ (39391)

BURTON M. ALTURA² AND HAYDOOHI EDGARIAN

Departments of Physiology and Anesthesiology, State University of New York, Downstate Medical Center, Brooklyn, New York 11203

Many studies involving prostaglandin (PG) molecules employ ethanol as a solvent. Collier and his co-workers have recently demonstrated that ethanol can stimulate biosynthesis of PGE and F compounds in rat stomach smooth muscle (1). Two preliminary reports suggest that this alcohol can affect excitability and reactivity of rat aortic and portal venous muscles *in vitro* (2, 3). In view of these diverse actions of ethanol, and its possible importance in studying PG molecules, we examined the effects of ethanol on contractions of arterial and venous muscle induced by PGE₁, A₁, and B₁ molecules.

Methods. Thoracic aortas and portal veins were obtained from male rats (Wistar strain, 275-425 g) after sacrifice by decapitation. The aortas were cut helically into vascular strips (1.5-2 mm in width by 25 mm in length) and the force of contractions were recorded *in vitro*, essentially as described previously (4). Ten-millimeter segments of portal veins were tied at both ends with sutures and the force of contractions were recorded *in vitro*, as described previously (5). Both aortic strips and portal vein segments were equilibrated for 2 hr in 20-ml muscle chambers containing Krebs-Ringer bicarbonate (KRB) solution (4) at 37° oxygenated continuously with 95% O₂-5% CO₂ (pH 7.4-7.5). The recording equipment was identical to that described previously (6). After the 2-hr incubation period the vascular segments were exposed to one of the three different PG compounds (E₁, A₁, or B₁) in concentrations which were

either (i) above threshold; or (ii) required for eliciting 50% of a maximum PG contractile response. These respective responses were then repeated two more times, after washing and relaxation in KRB solution. The vascular segments were exposed to ethanol concentrations of 17, 170, and 430 mM for 5 min. (Preliminary experiments had shown there was no difference in effects when ethanol exposure was as long as 60 min.) All of the PG compounds were dissolved in phosphate buffer (pH 7.40-7.45) (7). The total volume of phosphate buffer used never exceed 0.2 ml. At least six different preparations were examined with each ethanol-PG dose combination. Significance of changes in tension were calculated by Student's *t* test. Tension values for the rat portal vein were calculated in a manner similar to that described previously (5, 8).

Results. Tables I and II demonstrate that a low concentration of ethanol (i.e., 17 mM) can markedly inhibit the contractile action of PGE₁ on both rat aortic strips and portal veins. Although lower concentrations of ethanol (i.e., down to 5 mM) can also attenuate PGE₁ contractions, in these two types of vascular muscle these effects were seen in only about 50% of the preparations examined. Higher concentrations of ethanol, i.e., 170-430 mM, produced greater inhibition of PGE₁ responses which appeared to be dose-related; the greater the ethanol concentrations, the less the PGE₁ response (Tables I and II). Although not shown, these ethanol-induced effects as well as those described below are completely reversible upon washing of the tissues in normal KRB solution.

In contrast to what is seen for PGE₁, preincubation of aortic strips with 17 mM ethanol enhances contractions induced by PGB₁ (Tables 1 and 2). Even high concentrations of ethanol, i.e., 170 mM, can still

¹ This work was supported by Research Grants No. HL-18015 from the National Heart and Lung Institute, and No. MH-26236 from the National Institute of Mental Health.

² Requests for reprints should be sent to: B. M. Altura, Department of Physiology, Box 31, Downstate Medical Center, 450 Clarkson Avenue, Brooklyn, New York 11203.

TABLE I. DIFFERENTIAL EFFECTS OF ETHANOL ON CONTRACTIONS INDUCED BY PROSTAGLANDINS IN RAT AORTIC STRIPS.

PG compound ($\mu\text{g/ml}$)	N	Control tension (mg \pm SE)	Tension (mg) with ethanol (mM)		
			17	170	430
PGE ₁ (1)	8	572.8 \pm 52.6	405 \pm 36 ^a	166.6 \pm 22 ^a	58.3 \pm 12.6 ^a
PGB ₁ (0.25)	6	491.6 \pm 84.7	925 \pm 72.2 ^a	683.3 \pm 42.3 ^a	133.3 \pm 28.9 ^a
PGA ₁ (0.25)	8	825 \pm 42.6	937.5 \pm 20.5 ^a	200 \pm 34 ^a	106.2 \pm 38.2 ^a

^a Significantly different from control tension ($P < 0.02$).

TABLE II. DIFFERENTIAL EFFECTS OF ETHANOL ON CONTRACTIONS INDUCED BY PROSTAGLANDINS IN RAT PORTAL VEINS.

PG compound ($\mu\text{g/ml}$)	N	Control tension (mg \pm SE)	Tension (mg) with ethanol (mM)		
			17	170	430
PGE ₁ (1)	8	693.1 \pm 23.1	531.2 \pm 19.9 ^a	475 \pm 34.6 ^a	43.7 \pm 10.2 ^a
PGB ₁ (1)	6	700 \pm 25	858.3 \pm 29.9 ^a	550 \pm 22.6 ^a	91.6 \pm 10.2 ^a
PGA ₁ (1)	8	575 \pm 28.3	750 \pm 25 ^a	518 \pm 31	300 \pm 10 ^a

^a Significantly different from control tension ($P < 0.02$).

effect potentiation of B₁ (Tables 1 and 2). Tables 1 and 2 demonstrate that a low concentration of ethanol (e.g., 17 mM) can also potentiate contractions induced by PGA₁. However, unlike that seen with B₁, higher concentrations of ethanol (i.e., 170 mM) markedly attenuate PGA₁ contractions (Tables 1 and 2). Although not shown, the phosphate buffer vehicle itself does not attenuate (or enhance) baseline tension, amplitude, or frequency of the spontaneous mechanical responses of the vascular preparations, even when administered in volumes at least three times that used with the doses of PG compounds.

Discussion. The data presented here indicate that low concentrations of ethanol can potentiate or inhibit the contractile actions of PG compounds on at least two different types of vascular smooth muscles.³ Although both inhibition and potentiation by ethanol was seen with PGA₁ and PGB₁ compounds, only contractile inhibition was seen with the PGE₁ compound. The fact that the latter action of ethanol was demonstrated only with PGE₁ and on both arterial and

venous smooth muscle suggests to us that some part(s) of the structure of a prostaglandin molecule may be quite important in ethanol-PG interactions in contractions of vascular muscle.

Although ethanol is considered to be a CNS depressant (10), it can depolarize neurons (10, 11). In addition, it has been demonstrated that low concentrations of ethanol can increase activity of afferent nerves from primary and secondary endings in the muscle spindles and tendon organs of rat caudal muscle (12) as well as cause singly excited cat soleus motor nerve terminals to fire repetitively (13). Such reports suggest that ethanol may have both excitatory and depressant effects on certain excitable tissues. In addition, ethanol has been demonstrated to increase the frequency of miniature endplate potentials of rat phrenic nerve diaphragm preparations and to concomitantly increase the force of contraction (14).

In the latter study, Gage reported that ethanol increased the quantal content of the end-plate potential and the frequency of miniature endplate potentials. Such actions were used to explain the resultant increase in the force of contraction (14). An effect of ethanol on the resting membrane potentials of the rat aorta and portal vein could explain the potentiation of PGB₁ and A₁ contractions.

A second possibility is that ethanol can stimulate endogenous synthesis of PG com-

³ Following the procedure recommended by The UpJohn Co. for preparation of stock solutions, one usually dissolves the PG compounds in 100% ethanol to yield 1 mg/ml (9). A dilution of 1 mg/ml to 1 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ would result in yielding approximately 17 mM ethanol, or in other words, the concentration of ethanol which differentially affects the contractile actions of the several PG compounds used in the present study.

pounds (1). Since it has recently been demonstrated that synthesis of PG compounds can be stimulated in both arterial and venous smooth muscle by certain vasoactive substances (15), the latter hypothesis is plausible.

A third alternative hypothesis to explain ethanol enhancement of PG responses might be related to the effects of this alcohol on the intracellular availability of free, ionized calcium (Ca^{2+}). Ethanol has been demonstrated to affect the movement of Ca^{2+} in certain excitable tissues (16). Ethanol-induced enhanced uptake, or release of intracellular Ca^{2+} could result in a potentiation of PG contractions.

In this context, it is known that a wide concentration range of ethanol (i.e., 50–430 mM) can inhibit the contractile effect of Ca^{2+} in potassium-depolarized rat aortic strips and portal vein segments (2, 3, 17, 18). Since Ca^{2+} is undoubtedly the link between excitation and contraction for PG compounds in blood vessels (19, 20), high concentrations of ethanol could inhibit these contractions by simply reducing the availability of free, ionized Ca^{2+} (17, 18). Alternatively, one might be tempted to suggest that the inhibitory effects of ethanol on PG-induced contractions might be due to an affect of the alcohol on vascular smooth muscle cell metabolism and/or the contractile proteins. The present results, however, indicate that although different concentrations of ethanol can potentiate or inhibit PGA_1 or B_1 responses, the contractile responses elicited by PGE_1 are only inhibited. If ethanol exerted a general effect on metabolism and/or the contractile proteins, one might expect a particular concentration of the alcohol, e.g., 170 mM, to be inhibitory for all three PG compounds. The latter is, however, not the case.

Irrespective of the exact mechanism(s) by which ethanol enhances and attenuates PG contractions of aortic and venous smooth muscle, one must be cautious in the use of alcohol as a solvent for PG compounds when investigating vascular smooth muscle.

Summary. The present results, using isolated rat aortic strips and portal vein segments, demonstrate that ethanol (5–430 mM) can both enhance and attenuate the

contractile actions of a variety of prostaglandin (PG) compounds on at least two different types of vascular smooth muscle. At the very least, the present findings question the use of ethanol as a solvent when investigating the contractile actions of PG molecules on smooth muscle.

The authors are grateful to both Dr. John E. Pike and Dr. John Babcock of the UpJohn Company for generously providing us with the prostaglandin compounds used in these studies.

1. Collier, H. O. J., McDonald-Gibson, W. J., and Saeed, S. A., *Lancet* **i**, 702 (1975).
2. Edgarian, H., Altura, B. M., Altura, B. T., and Orkin, L. R., *Pharmacologist* **16**, 303 (1974).
3. Edgarian, H., Altura, B. M., Altura, B. T., *Fed. Proc.* **34**, 797 (1975).
4. Altura, B. M., and Altura, B. T., *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.* **190**, 300 (1974).
5. Altura, B. T., and Altura, B. M., *Anesthesiology* **43**, 432 (1975).
6. Altura, B. M., and Altura, B. T., *Amer. J. Physiol.* **219**, 1698 (1970).
7. Umbreit, W. W., Burris, R. H., and Stauffer, J. F., in "Manometric Techniques," p. 133. Burgess Publishing Co., Minneapolis (1964).
8. Altura, B. T., Altura, B. M., and Baez, S., *Blood Vessels* **12**, 206 (1975).
9. Roseman, T. J., Sims, B., and Stehle, R. G., *Adv. Biosci.* **9**, 851 (1972).
10. Ritchie, J. M., in "The Pharmacological Basis of Therapeutics" (L. S. Goodman and A. Gilman, eds.), p. 135. Macmillan, New York (1970).
11. Gallego, A., *J. Cell Comp. Physiol.* **31**, 97 (1948).
12. Kucera, A., and Smith, C. M., *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.* **179**, 301 (1971).
13. Feng, T. P., and Li, T. H., *Chin. J. Physiol.* **16**, 317 (1941).
14. Gage, P. W., *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.* **150**, 236 (1965).
15. Terragno, D. A., Crowshaw, K., Terragno, N. A., and McGiff, J. C., *Circulation Res.* **36** (Suppl. 1), 1–75 (1975).
16. Seeman, P., *Pharmacol. Rev.* **24**, 583 (1972).
17. Altura, B. M., Edgarian, H., and Altura, B. T., *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.* **197**, 352 (1976).
18. Edgarian, H., and Altura, B. M., *Anesthesiology* **44**, 311 (1976).
19. Strong, C. G., and Bohr, D. F., *Amer. J. Physiol.* **213**, 725 (1967).
20. Greenberg, S., Kadowitz, P. J., Diecke, F. P. J., and Long, J. P., *Arch. Intern. Pharmacodynamie Ther.* **205**, 381 (1973).

Received October 1, 1975. P.S.E.B.M. 1976, Vol. 152.