

The authors have also made a study of some galenical preparations and more particularly of tincture of digitalis in respect to their toxicity for lupinus albus. It was found that various dilutions of tincture of digitalis containing the same amount of alcohol were progressively less toxic for the lupinus so that a curve could be plotted expressing the relationship between the toxicity of the various concentrations and the growth of the plant, running more or less parallel to a similar curve obtained for the toxicity of digitalis by the cat method.

162 (2122)

An effect of x-rays on crossingover in drosophila.

By JAMES W. MAVOR.

[From the Department of Biology, Union College, Schenectady, New York.]

In *Drosophila melanogaster* when a white-eyed, long-winged female is crossed with an eosin-eyed, miniature-winged male, the daughters are all heterozygous and may be represented by the formula $\frac{w \ M}{W^e \ m}$, indicating that one of the X chromosomes carries the determiners for white eye-color (w) and long wings (M) while the other carries the determiners for eosin eye-color (W^e) and miniature wings (m). If such a heterozygous female is bred she will have four kinds of regular sons irrespective of the male with which she is crossed, since the regular sons obtain their X chromosomes from their mother only. In two of the kinds of sons the characters will appear as they entered in the original cross, *i. e.*, one kind will be white-eyed and long-winged and the other eosin-eyed and miniature-winged; these make up the noncrossover classes. In the other two kinds of sons the characters will be interchanged, *i. e.*, one kind will be white-eyed and miniature-winged and the other eosin-eyed and long-winged; these make up the crossover classes. It is usual in work on

crossingover to cross the heterozygous females with a recessive male, which in this case would be white-eyed and miniature-winged, in order that the daughters may also show the crossover and noncrossover classes. The experiments to be described were designed primarily to test for nondisjunction and for that reason the females were mated to males with the dominant character red-eyed so that the exceptional sons and daughters could be recognized. This did not of course affect the character of the regular sons and it is from counts of these that the crossover values have been determined. No attempt has been made to correct the data for double crossingover.

The first series of experiments in which the effect of X-rays on crossingover was tested were those of our third series of X-ray experiments. Each group of females was treated only once but the X-ray dose was different in the different groups. The females were mated immediately after the treatment to wild-type males. The X-rayed and control females were allowed to remain in the first bottles for six days and in the second bottles for eight days. The F_1 were counted in the bottles until eighteen days after the parents were placed in the bottles, the temperature of the incubator being kept between 73° and 76° F. In the first bottles there was no significant difference between the crossover values of the control and X-rayed females. On the other hand in the second bottles there was a significant decrease in the crossover value of the X-rayed females whenever a sufficient number of F_1 were obtained to give a significant result. The totals for all the experiments of the series show a difference between the control and X-rayed females of 14.97 times the probable error and a difference of 9.31 times the probable error between the first and second bottles of the X-rayed females. The experiments also show that the crossover value decreases as the X-ray dose increases.

In the fourth series of experiments, the second in which the crossover value was investigated, the females, $\frac{w}{W^e} \frac{M}{m}$, were all the daughters of one white-eyed female. The X-ray dose was practically the same in all cases but the duration of the treatment was varied from 3 minutes and 17 seconds to 20 hours and 20 minutes. The X-rayed and control females were transferred to new bottles every three days. The results are shown in an abbre-

viated form in the table from which one group of females which showed the same effect as the other two has been omitted. The first two sets of bottles show no significant difference in the crossover value between the control and the X-rayed females. The third and fourth bottles, however, show a significant difference between the control and X-rayed females both in the case of the separate groups and in the case of the series as a whole.

The counts of crossovers and noncrossovers from all of the experiments may be added together. It is then found that the difference between the crossover values of the control and X-rayed females for eggs laid during the first six days is 2.03 times the probable error and that for eggs laid during the second six to eight days of egg laying the crossover value of the X-rayed females is less than that of the controls by 28.37 times the probable error of the difference.

The fourth series of experiments shows that the effect of the X-ray treatment even when that treatment lasts only for 3 minutes and 17 seconds is apparent in the crossover values over a period of approximately six days. This result tends to show that the X-rays do not directly affect the process of crossingover but that they produce in the chromosomes or the nucleus generally a condition which inhibits crossingover.

CROSSOVER VALUES—FOURTH SERIES OF EXPERIMENTS.

Group	<i>X-rayed</i>				<i>Control</i>				Diff. P. E. diff.
	No. of Pairs	Noncross- overs	Cross- overs	% Crossing over	No. of Pairs	Noncross- overs	Cross- overs	% Crossing over	
FIRST BOTTLES									
I	11	103	39	27.5	9	351	156	30.8	1.4
III	7	55	21	27.6	4	154	64	29.4	1.4
I-III	26	203	76	27.2	19	843	358	29.8	1.27
SECOND BOTTLES									
I	10	95	30	24.0	9	496	216	30.4	2.0
III	7	37	14	27.5	4	202	92	31.3	.8
I-III	24	173	59	25.4	18	1012	466	31.5	2.77
THIRD BOTTLES									
I	8	294	21	6.78	9	433	149	25.7	9.6
III	6	155	20	11.4	4	222	108	32.7	7.2
I-III	21	624	64	9.29	18	958	362	27.4	12.85

FOURTH BOTTLES									
I	9	34 ^a	37	9.60	9	437	165	27.4	9.2
III	0	207	19	8.42	4	161	63	28.1	5.9
I-III	22	672	73	9.80	18	798	322	28.7	13.16

Group I was treated for 3 min., 17 sec. and Group III for 20 hrs., 20 min. Group II, not recorded separately in table, but included in the totals. Group I-III was treated for 2 hrs., 15 min. and gave results similar to those recorded for the other groups. All the groups received approximately the same dose, *i.e.*, total radiant energy. The females, control and X-rayed, remained for three days in each bottle.

163 (2123)

Paramecium polycaryum, sp. nov.

By LORANDE LOSS WOODRUFF, and HOPE SPENCER.

[From the Osborn Zoological Laboratory, Yale University,
New Haven, Connecticut.]

The several species of the genus *Paramecium* naturally fall into two groups: one with the general cell form represented by *P. aurelia*, *P. caudatum*, and *P. multimicronucleata* (*aurelia* group), and the other by *P. bursaria*, *P. putrinum* and *P. calkinsi* (*bursaria* group). Within each of these groups the species are distinguished chiefly by micronuclear structure and number. One type of micronuclear structure (*caudatum* type) occurs in *P. caudatum* and *P. bursaria*, and *P. putrinum*; the other (*aurelia* type) in *P. aurelia*, *P. multimicronucleata* and *P. calkinsi*. Species with the "*caudatum* type" possess a single micronucleus while those with the "*aurelia* type" possess two (*P. aurelia*, *P. calkinsi*) or several (*P. multimicronucleata*).¹

The present paper records the discovery, on November 29, 1922, in some material collected in Louisiana, of a *Paramecium* characterized by the general body form of the "*bursaria* group"

¹ L. L. Woodruff, *Biol. Bull.*, 1921, xli; *Proc. Soc. Exp. Biol. and Med.*, 1921, xviii.