

COMMENTS

The Clinical Toxicity of Cinnabar

PAOLO D. PIGATTO* AND GIANPAOLO GUZZI†,¹

**Department of Technology for Health, Dermatological Clinic, IRCCS Galeazzi Hospital, University of Milan, Milan, Italy and †Italian Association for Metals and Biocompatibility Research – A.I.R.M.E.B., Via A. Banfi, 4, 20122 Milan – Italy*

In their important and timely mini-review article on mercury in traditional medicines, Liu et al. (1) compare the clinical toxicity of cinnabar with other chemical forms of mercury. We would like to comment on several of the issues.

In Table 1 they state that methyl mercury is used as preservative in vaccines. However, so far, we are unaware of any studies in which methyl mercury was used safely as preservative (2, 3, 4).

In Table 3 of the article, the authors summarized the clinical application of mercury chelating and/or mobilizing agents as treatment of mercury-induced toxicity and state that “No chelators” are available during methyl mercury intoxication (1).

It is true that, unfortunately, most patients with organic mercury intoxication had had unsatisfactory responses to chelation approach (5). However, it is important to acknowledge that in case of individuals who had acute methyl mercury overexposures and/or poisoning the meso-2, 3-dimercaptosuccinic acid (DMSA) should be administered as early as possible to prevent multisystem toxicity (6, 7).

Also, as shown in Table 3, Liu et al. suggest that EDTA

(edetate, ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid) is clinically indicated in individuals with mercury chloride overexposure. However, there is no evidence that the use of calcium disodium EDTA is able to remove any mercury forms from human tissues owing to the very low affinity of EDTA for mercury molecules (6, 7).

By comparing the clinical toxicity of cinnabar with the other forms of mercury, clinicians should remember that cinnabar may cause an idiosyncratic non-allergic toxic reaction to mercury, which is independently related to the dose.

1. Liu J, Shi JZ, Yu LM, Goyer R, Waalkes MP. Mercury in traditional medicines: Is cinnabar toxicologically similar to common mercurials? *Exp Biol Med* 233:810–817, 2008
2. Clarkson TW. The three modern faces of mercury. *Environ Health Perspect* 110 Suppl 1:11–23, 2002.
3. Clarkson TW, Magos L, Myers GJ. Current concepts: The toxicology of mercury – Current exposures and clinical manifestations. *N Engl J Med* 349:1731–1737, 2003.
4. Clarkson TW, Magos L. The toxicology of mercury and its chemical compounds. *Crit Rev Toxicol* 36:609–662, 2006.
5. Nierenberg DW, Nordgren RE, Chang MB, Siegler RW, Blayney MB, Hochberg F, Toribara TY, Cernichiari E, Clarkson T. Delayed cerebellar disease and death after accidental exposure to dimethylmercury. *N Engl J Med* 338:1672–1676, 1998.
6. Guzzi G, La Porta CA. Molecular mechanisms triggered by mercury. *Toxicology* 244:1–12, 2008.
7. Goyer RA, Clarkson TW. Toxic effects of metals. In Klaassen CD. Casarett & Doull’s Toxicology: The basic of poisons. 6th ed, pp822–826. New York: McGraw Hill, 2001.

¹ To whom correspondence should be addressed at Italian Association for Metals and Biocompatibility Research-A.I.R.M.E.B., Via A. Banfi, 4, 20122 Milan, Italy. E-mail: gianpaolo_guzzi@fastwebnet.it

We welcome comments by our readers reflecting agreement or disagreement with the material published in Experimental Biology and Medicine and, at the discretion of the Editor-in-Chief, will publish such comments. The statements and opinions contained in the articles of Experimental Biology and Medicine are solely those of the individual authors and contributors and not of the Society for Experimental Biology and Medicine.