

Use of Bacteriostatic Drugs in Preservation of Blood for Transfusion.

MILAN NOVAK. (Introduced by W. P. Larson.)

From the Department of Bacteriology and Immunology and the University Hospitals, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis.

The increasing use of blood "banks" and "stored" blood for transfusion has repeatedly brought up the problem of a suitable substance for the preservation of human blood under such conditions. Since blood is an excellent menstuum for bacteria, growth of these organisms takes place in blood even at refrigerator temperatures. That bacterial contamination occasionally occurs during the taking of blood from donors is not denied, yet the seriousness of the use of grossly contaminated blood has not been sufficiently emphasized.

Following the finding of a considerable amount of contamination among stored blood samples by routine cultural methods, it became obvious that the problem was being neglected. The risk of contamination in the periodic removal of samples for culturing purposes is too great to warrant it as a routine procedure. The possible use of

TABLE I.
Inoculation with Hemolytic Spore-forming Rod Isolated from "Stored" Blood.

	No. of colonies developing after				
	0 days	3 days	6 days	9 days	12 days
Control	56	7680	184,000	5,760,000	6,400,000
Merthiolate 1:5000	54	1280	34,600	170,000	260,000
Acriflavine 1:100,000	62	1150	179,000	9,600,000	10,200,000
Crystal violet 1:100,000	57	8	0	38,400	34,600
Brilliant green 1:100,000	51	22	200	10,000	12,800
Sulfanilamide 1:1000	61	46	33	21	39
Sulfapyridine 1:5000	53	21	0	260	210

TABLE II.
Inoculation with *Staphylococcus albus* Isolated from "Stored" Blood.

	No. of colonies developing after				
	0 days	3 days	6 days	9 days	12 days
Control	162	20,500	320,000	5,120,000	6,400,000
Merthiolate 1:5000	157	2,560	128,000	3,200,000	3,800,000
Acriflavine 1:100,000	171	1,340	20	800	960
Crystal violet 1:100,000	166	4,480	153,600	2,420,000	2,900,000
Brilliant green 1:100,000	159	310	80	7,640	9,600
Sulfanilamide 1:1000	161	33	40	63	58
Sulfapyridine 1:5000	153	158	380	270	290

TABLE III.
Inoculation with *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* Isolated from "Stored" Blood.

	No. of colonies developing after				
	0 days	3 days	6 days	9 days	12 days
Control	256	12,800	522,000	24,800,000	32,000,000
Merthiolate 1:500	234	150	20	6,000	8,400
Acriflavine 1:100,000	262	146	1,900	17,800,000	21,300,000
Crystal violet 1:100,000	259	294	64,000	22,400,000	32,000,000
Brilliant green 1:100,000	238	182	7,100	16,800,000	18,800,000
Sulfanilamide 1:1000	246	144	120	210	160
Sulfapyridine 1:5000	253	153	660	3,200	2,860

a bacteriostatic substance which would be harmless by intravenous administration suggested itself.

The "selective bacteriostasis" of acridine and triphenylmethane dyes as originally demonstrated by Churchman,¹ and the bacteriostatic action of sodium-ethyl-mercurithiosalicylate (merthiolate) as pointed out by Jamieson and Powell² were considered. Their failure to produce the desired effect prompted the use of sulfanilamide.

The procedure consisted of inoculating 10 cc of freshly drawn citrated (0.3%) human blood with 24-hour cultures of bacteria in amounts which would introduce less than 3 bacteria per cubic millimeter of blood. The bacteriostatic substances were added and the tubes were then placed in a refrigerator at 4° to 6°C. At 3-day intervals, 0.1 cc amounts were removed from each sample, mixed with a tube of molten agar, and poured on a Petri plate. Colonies were counted after a 48-hour incubationary period.

The results with organisms most frequently isolated from contaminated blood are shown in Tables I, II and III.

The results indicate that sulfanilamide is the only consistently bacteriostatic substance in amounts compatible with intravenous dosage. Similar results were obtained with many other strains of staphylococci and other contaminants isolated from stored blood. It is not known what the ultimate effect of sulfanilamide will be on an individual receiving it; hence it cannot be stated that blood containing sulfanilamide is entirely innocuous.

¹ Churchman, J. W., *Newer Knowledge of Bacteriology and Immunology*, Chicago, 1928, Chap. III, p. 19.

² Jamieson, W. A., and Powell, H. M., *Am. J. Hyg.*, 1931, **14**, 218.