



OPEN ACCESS

*CORRESPONDENCE
EBM Editorial Office,
✉ ebm@ebm-journal.org

RECEIVED 19 November 2024
ACCEPTED 29 November 2024
PUBLISHED 09 January 2025

CITATION
EBM Editorial Office (2025) Retraction:
Pyridoxal 5' phosphate protects islets
against streptozotocin-induced beta-
cell dysfunction – *in vitro* and *in vivo*.
Exp. Biol. Med. 249:10441.
doi: 10.3389/ebm.2024.10441

COPYRIGHT
© 2025 EBM Editorial Office. This is an
open-access article distributed under
the terms of the [Creative Commons
Attribution License \(CC BY\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). The use,
distribution or reproduction in other
forums is permitted, provided the
original author(s) and the copyright
owner(s) are credited and that the
original publication in this journal is
cited, in accordance with accepted
academic practice. No use, distribution
or reproduction is permitted which does
not comply with these terms.

Retraction: Pyridoxal 5' phosphate protects islets against streptozotocin-induced beta-cell dysfunction – *in vitro* and *in vivo*

EBM Editorial Office*

A Retraction of the Original Research Article

[Pyridoxal 5' phosphate protects islets against streptozotocin-induced
beta-cell dysfunction – *in vitro* and *in vivo*](#)

by Kiran SG, Dorisetty RK, Umrani MR, Boindala S, Bhonde RR, Chalsani M, Singh H and
Venkatesan V (2011). *Exp Biol Med.* 236(4):456–465. doi: [10.1258/ebm.2011.010361](https://doi.org/10.1258/ebm.2011.010361)

Following publication, concerns were raised on the PubPeer platform regarding the reuse of certain images. Particularly, in the β -Actin bands in [Figure 6A](#), two sets of PCR bands appear to be duplicated if reversed horizontally. Further, in the Glut2/Reg-1 bands in the same [Figure 6A](#), two sets of bands appear to be duplicated as well. The authors failed to provide a satisfactory explanation during the investigation, which was conducted in accordance with Experimental Biology and Medicine's policies. Therefore, the article has been retracted.

This retraction was approved by the Editor-in-Chief of Experimental Biology and Medicine. The authors received communication regarding the retraction.

EBM would like to thank the users on PubPeer for bringing the published article to our attention.

